Hallgarten Initiates Coverage of Rockland Resources, a New Source of American Beryllium

written by InvestorNews | July 21, 2025

Hallgarten + Company's initiation of coverage on **Rockland Resources Ltd.** (CSE: RKL | OTCQB: BERLF) arrived this morning with measured purpose, aimed squarely at defence planners, supply-chain analysts, and investors attuned to niche metals that quietly anchor national security. Lead analyst **Christopher Ecclestone**, declaring a **Long** strategy and hinting at generous upside, casts Rockland's Utah acreage as more than a speculative frontier: it is a litmus test for the United States' largely unexamined grip on beryllium, a dominance that could falter if a single incumbent ever stumbles.

For decades, the United States has enjoyed an almost unassailable position in beryllium (Be), thanks to a tight, sometimes uneasy marriage between the Pentagon and **Materion Corporation (NYSE: MTRN)**, the Ohio-based behemoth that mines Spor Mountain and refines the metal for everything from missile gyroscopes to satellite mirrors. Ecclestone's report reads like a dispatch from behind the curtain: Washington policy staffers, he argues, are so busy forecasting shortfalls in lithium and rare earths that they barely notice their singular dominance in beryllium—or how precarious that dominance might become if Materion ever stumbled.

Enter Rockland Resources, whose three claim blocks—Beryllium Butte, Claybank and Meteor—sit like a crescent to the west of Spor Mountain. Ecclestone sketches a region peppered with forgotten Dow Chemical drill pads, Cold-War uranium trenches and tungsten adits that once fed scheelite into

wartime supply chains. His thesis is straightforward: if Rockland can validate historical grades, Materion’s monopoly becomes contestable, the Pentagon gains optionality, and the broader western alliance may, at last, have beryllium to spare for its allies’ nuclear reactors and aerospace programs.

Yet the column’s true tension lies in its portrait of monopoly risk. Materion’s command of price and of distribution channels has already squeezed small-volume industrial users, forcing some to abandon the metal altogether. What happens when demand rises—through emerging molten-salt reactor designs, for instance—and a single vendor sets the market? Ecclestone hints that policymakers could soon find themselves in the awkward position of propping up competition they never realized they needed.

Utah, newly crowned by the Fraser Institute as the world’s most attractive mining jurisdiction, offers Rockland an accommodating stage. Drill pads are in place at Beryllium Butte; permits for Claybank are reportedly imminent. Meanwhile, Meteor’s tungsten potential flirts with another strategic narrative: the United States currently produces no primary tungsten, and Washington has begun showering the metal with grants and loan guarantees.

All of this—dormant core samples, Cold-War memoranda, the prospect of a two-horse market—converges in Ecclestone’s prose, which toggles effortlessly between geological minutiae and geopolitical critique. The piece is as much a meditation on industrial policy as it is an equity primer. Rockland’s cap table, replete with six-cent financing and low-priced warrants, is acknowledged but not belabored; the real story, Ecclestone insists, is the structure of a market in which the Department of Defense plays kingmaker by omission.

Whether Rockland can turn vintage exploration lore into

compliant resources is a question that only the drill bit can answer. But Ecclestone's initiation performs a valuable service: it reminds investors—and, more pointedly, lawmakers—that strategic advantage is fragile, especially when it rests on a single operator's shoulders. His report is a brisk, provocative read, and anyone concerned with supply-chain security of this highly prioritized critical mineral by the military would do well to digest it in full.

To access the complete Hallgarten + Company Report, click here